

Addendum No. 3

City of Coquitlam **RFP No. 24-066**

Construction Services at Miller Park

Issue Date: August 12, 2024 Total Page Count: 5

Proponents shall note the following amendments to the RFP documents:

ADDITIONS

- A1) Appendix F Topographic Survey and Field Layout, has been added to City website to form part of the Appendices and Scope of Work.
- A2) The seeding rate shall be 10 pounds per 1,000 square feet (Appendix B L2.1 Seeding Note #12 previously specified 100 KG per hectare).

REPLACE

R2)	REMOVE: REPLACE WITH:	Proposal Submission Form REVISED Proposal Submission Form Rev. No 1
R3)	REMOVE: REPLACE WITH:	24-066 Appendix E – Irrigation Drawings 24-066 REVISED Appendix E – Irrigation Drawings Rev. No. 1 The irrigation component will remain as a lump sum in the Proposal Submission form; however, the pricing should reflect the recent revisions.

QUESTIONS

- Q1. The Geotechnical report indicates a stripping depth of approx. 1m which pay item are we to include the price for this work?
- A1. Site stripping is required for foundations as described in Appendix D -Geotechnical Report. This is considered incidental to the related work, e.g. any required stripping for fence foundations should be priced under fencing.
- Q2. The SOQ & drawings note an asphalt pathway however, the legend specifies concrete please advise which material we are to price?
- A2. Asphalt.
- Q3. The Concrete Pad located under the salvaged bleachers. Is the Contractor to remove the current concrete pads and replace with new concrete pads?
- A3. Existing concrete pads will be retained.

- Q4. Is there an approximate volume of rock dust onsite that is expected for reuse? Or a volume of rock dust that the Contractor is suspected to have to bring in to achieve proposed grade?
- A4. The Contractor will be expected to provide sufficient materials to meet specified depth and compaction.
- Q5. The grading drawings do not indicate existing grade are we to assume the slope of the field is to remain the same?
- A5. Existing grades are provided on Appendix F Topographic Survey.
- Q6. The new asphalt pathway does not indicate slope or finished grade are we to assume that once we have completed removals and base gravels the asphalt will be at finished grade?
- A6. The asphalt pathway grading intent is 2% cross-slope, with adjacent landscape to meet existing grades.
- Q7. In speaking with Marathon, they are proposing the attached product & that the base be Ecoraster please confirm this is acceptable. If not, please provide exact product build up / details and specs.
- A7. Ecoraster as base construction for the LandSafe warm-up circles by Marathon Surfaces is an approved methodology.
- Q8. Can the City confirm the type of pipe they will be using for the 3" water main, for the Contractor to connect the irrigation system to?
- A8. 75mm Type K annealed copper to ASTM B88M is expected to be used.
- Q9. Please confirm that an ESC plan and Monitoring by a QEP is required for the contract as per Coquitlam's Stream and drainage system protection bylaw 4403, 2013.

A9. Confirmed.

Q10. What type of pavement inside the dugouts? Asphalt or Concrete?

A10. Concrete, see Appendix B detail D1.

- Q11. Are there any 0.30*0.15m CIP concrete strip required under the chain-link of dugouts?
- A11. No. The dugout concrete paving is expected to provide this same function.
- Q12. We can't find any existing elevation, only proposed grade, if required, do we need to import gravel fill to the site to make it to the proposed grade?
- A12. Existing grades are provided on Appendix F Topographic Survey. Additional gravel fill is not expected to be required for grading purposes, as the site appears to require a net cut of material to meet subgrade for drainage and new growing medium. Gravels will still be required for other purposes such as pipe surround and aggregate bases.





- A13. Offsite water service is by City forces, including meter and valve to be provided at the property line. The Contractor is responsible for all onsite water service within the limit of work, including back-flow preventer assembly.
- Q14. If look at the backstop details D4 and D5, above the concrete footing, it is a squared concrete top/block 0.3mx0.3m *0.15m thick, it is not a concrete strip, how does that work? Or this require the fence company to make it to shape when install fence post?
- A14. The mow strip is intended to be a 300 mm wide concrete surface along all sections of fence. Wherever a concrete foundation underlays the mow strip rebar dowels are required. For all other areas it shall be installed on aggregate base. The following details will be incorporated in the IFC set.



- Q15. If the CIP concrete curb of 0.3 wide *0.15m thick to be casted above ground under the perimeter of the fence and backstop and dugouts, please provide sections of base prep, this have to be done after the fence installed, correct?
- A15. See Q12 & A12. Methodology and sequencing is the Contractor's responsibility.
- Q16. Can we use this maintenance road for trucking in material and out for disposal?



- A16. Utility Right of Way is not an approved access route.
- Q17. Could you please provide a more detailed elevation drawing of the back stop. It would be helpful to see how height continues and steps down.
- A17. The proposed backstop is intended to match existing. The City will prepare a detail for IFC, but for the purposes of this Addendum the following sketch illustrates the design intent:



- Q18. Could you provide a footing detail for the backstop & 1.2m high chain link fence.
- A18. Backstops: 600mmØ x 1800mm depth [min. 32MPa & reinforced, see below TYP.] Dugouts: 300mmØ x 900mm depth [min. 32MPa & reinforced, see below TYP.] Sidelines (1.2m ht.): 300mmØ x 600mm depth [min. 20MPa, no rebar]



End of Addendum No. 3

Proponents take into account the content of this Addendum in the preparation and submission of the Proposal which will form part of the Contract and should be acknowledged on the Proposal Submission Form.

Upon submitting a Proposal, Proponents are deemed to have received all addenda that are issued and posted on the City's website and considered the information for inclusion in the Proposal Submission.

Issued by:

M. Pain Procurement Manager <u>bid@coquitlam.ca</u>